The Energy Paradox of Stationary Light: Quantum Coherence, Momentum Conservation, and Electrodynamic Frameworks

Introduction to the Paradox of Stationary Light

Within the established frameworks of classical electrodynamics and the special theory of relativity, the concept of "stationary light" represents a fundamental, seemingly insurmountable physical paradox. The genesis of this paradox can be traced back to Albert Einstein's early thought experiments, wherein he famously grappled with the impossibility of traveling alongside a beam of light to observe a stationary, spatially oscillatory electromagnetic wave.[1] Because the photon is defined by a zero rest mass, the invariant speed of light in a vacuum (c) dictates that light can never be brought to absolute rest within a purely classical vacuum without precipitating a complete violation of Lorentz invariance and the laws of energy-momentum conservation.[3] According to the relativistic energy-momentum relation $E^2 = (pc)^2 + (m_0c^2)^2$, a photon reduced to zero velocity would inherently possess zero energy and zero momentum, thereby ceasing to exist entirely.[4]

However, over the past three decades, profound advancements in quantum optics, metamaterial engineering, and non-Hermitian photonics have demonstrated that the group velocity of light can indeed be drastically reduced, and under highly specific conditions, brought to a complete halt.[6] Through complex quantum interference mechanisms such as Electromagnetically Induced Transparency (EIT) and the formation of stationary light pulses (SLPs) in ultracold atomic ensembles, researchers have successfully trapped localized electromagnetic energy in a stationary envelope.[9] This realization resurrects the core energy paradox in a new, modernized context: if an electromagnetic wave is brought to rest within a medium, what happens to its kinetic energy, how is the absolute conservation of momentum maintained, and what are the structural boundaries of the energy-momentum tensor?

This report provides an exhaustive, multi-disciplinary analysis of the energy paradox of stationary light, bridging microscopic quantum optical phenomena with macroscopic cosmological frameworks. By examining the quantum mechanics of dark-state polaritons, the century-old Abraham-Minkowski controversy regarding photon momentum in dielectric media, the anomalous Poynting vector behaviors in parity-time ($\mathcal{PT}$) symmetric waveguides, and the re-emergence of massive electrodynamics in cosmological "tired light" paradigms, this analysis outlines exactly how the physical universe preserves energy and momentum when light is forced into a stationary state.

Classical Electrodynamics and the Genesis of the

Paradox

To fully appreciate the gravity of the energy paradox of stationary light, it is necessary to first delineate the constraints imposed by classical physics, thermodynamics, and relativity.

The Law of Energy and Momentum Conservation

The law of conservation of energy, rigorously proven by Noether's theorem as a consequence of continuous time translation symmetry, dictates that the total energy of an isolated system remains constant over time.[12] Energy can neither be created nor destroyed, only transformed or transferred.[12] Classically, this principle was distinct from the conservation of mass, but special relativity unified these concepts through the mass-energy equivalence equation $E = mc^2$, implying that mass-energy as a composite whole is the conserved quantity.[12]

Similarly, the conservation of momentum is a consequence of continuous spatial translation symmetry.[15] In continuous systems such as electromagnetic fields and fluid dynamics, momentum density satisfies a strict conservation law governed by the Cauchy momentum equation and the Navier-Stokes equations.[16] The profound nature of momentum conservation in particle interactions is perfectly illustrated by electron-positron annihilation events. If an electron and positron annihilate, their mass is converted entirely to energy. However, they must turn into something with zero total momentum if they collided head-on with equal speeds. A single photon would violate momentum conservation because a photon inherently carries momentum; thus, the event always creates two photons traveling in opposite directions to cancel the total momentum to zero.[18] This fundamental physical constraint is heavily relied upon in modern medical imaging, such as Positron Emission Tomography (PET) scans.[18] Therefore, if a photon's velocity is externally manipulated to zero, its intrinsic momentum cannot simply vanish; it must be completely accounted for by a massive recoil in the interacting system.[19]

The Stress-Energy Tensor and Relativistic Constraints

In the framework of general relativity, the presence and motion of gravitating matter and radiation are described by the stress-energy tensor (or energy-momentum tensor), $T^{\mu\nu}$.[22] This tensor field defines the density and flux of energy and momentum at each point in spacetime, generalizing the Newtonian stress tensor.[22]

The time-time component, T^{00}, represents the relativistic mass-energy density, while other components encode momentum density, energy flux, and shear stress.[22] For a stationary beam of light, one can construct an exact spacetime metric describing its gravitational field, and the energy-momentum complex can be calculated using the Møller formalism within the Weitzenböck framework.[25] However, calculating the total energy of a system simply by integrating the energy density ρ over a 3D volume ($E = \int \rho \, d^3x$) does not yield a Lorentz invariant quantity because energy is intrinsically linked with linear momentum in the 4-momentum vector.[24]

This creates a paradox when attempting to observe light from different reference frames. If an observer approaches a stationary light source, the frequency of the light appears to increase due to the relativistic Doppler effect.[14] Conversely, classical attempts to create a "stationary" light frame via relative motion are impossible because accelerating a mass to the speed of light requires infinite energy, an insurmountable barrier that protects the invariant nature of c.[2]

The Aether Drag and Moving Interference Patterns

Early attempts to probe the nature of light moving through media involved analyzing aether drag and transverse motion. In the Jones experiment, a light beam was incident upon a transversely moving medium (a rotating disk), which demonstrated that the medium could laterally shift the image and rotate the linear polarization of the light field.[27]

An inverse investigation by a research group in Glasgow sought to analyze a transversely moving light beam incident upon a stationary medium.[27] Because moving a physical light source at relativistic speeds is impractical, the researchers created a stationary light source whose field contained a rapidly moving interference pattern. By interfering two beams at a specific angle α with a frequency difference $\delta\omega$, they generated fringes moving at speeds on the order of 10 km/s.[27] While this experiment simulated a moving frame of light, the true direction of the Poynting vector (energy flow) and wavevector remained fundamentally different from a truly stationary light field, reinforcing that macroscopic interference patterns do not equate to halting the underlying electromagnetic energy.[27] True stationary light would require a profound quantum mechanical intervention.

The Microscopic Framework: Electromagnetically Induced Transparency and Slow Light

The true resolution to the energy paradox of stationary light on a microscopic scale was unlocked through the phenomenon of Electromagnetically Induced Transparency (EIT). EIT is a coherent quantum optical process that utilizes destructive interference to render a highly opaque, resonant atomic medium completely transparent to a specific probe field.[6]

The Mechanics of EIT

In a standard EIT configuration, the atomic medium—frequently a laser-cooled gas of Rubidium atoms or a solid-state Praseodymium-doped crystal ($Pr^{3+} : Y_2SiO_5$)—is modeled as a three-level Λ-type quantum system.[9] This system comprises two long-lived, closely spaced lower energy states ($|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$), and one electronically excited state ($|3\rangle$).[6]

A strong, continuous-wave control laser (the coupling beam) strongly couples the $|2\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle$ transition.[31] Simultaneously, a weak probe pulse containing the optical signal couples the $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle$ transition.[9] According to classical absorption theory, a probe tuned exactly to the atomic resonance should be highly absorbed. However, the presence of the strong control beam splits the excited state into a doublet via the Autler-Townes effect.[6]

More precisely, the quantum probability amplitude for the probe photon to excite an atom directly via the pathway $|1\rangle \to |3\rangle$ perfectly cancels the probability amplitude of the secondary pathway $|1\rangle \to |3\rangle \to |2\rangle \to |3\rangle$.[6] This perfect Fano-like interference traps the atomic population in a coherent superposition of the two ground states, completely preventing the absorption of the probe beam and opening a narrow transparency window in the center of the absorption profile.[6]

Group Velocity Reduction and Energy Densities

The steep dispersion profile associated with this narrow transparency window fundamentally alters the kinematics of the probe pulse. The Kramers-Kronig relations dictate that a sharp change in absorption must be accompanied by a steep, positive gradient in the real part of the refractive index.[33] This results in a dramatically reduced group velocity (v_g) for the probe pulse, given by the theoretical approximation:

$$v_g = \frac{c}{1+n_g} \approx \frac{c}{1+\frac{g^2 N}{\Omega_c^2}}$$

where n_g is the group index, g is the atom-field coupling constant, N is the atomic density of the medium, and Ω_c is the Rabi frequency of the control field.[9]

In 1999, Lene Hau and a team from Harvard University utilized this mechanism in a Bose-Einstein Condensate (BEC) to slow a light pulse to an astonishing 17 meters per second, effectively taming light to the speed of a bicycle.[6] (Conversely, independent experiments in 2000 at Princeton demonstrated that in regions of anomalous dispersion, the group velocity can technically exceed c or become negative, though this fast-light effect does not carry information faster than c and strictly respects relativistic causality [5]).

When light is slowed so drastically, it undergoes extreme spatial compression. A pulse that is kilometers long in a vacuum compresses to a few micrometers inside the EIT medium.[29] Because the pulse contains the same number of photons but occupies a vastly smaller volume, the local electromagnetic energy density undergoes a massive transformation. By adiabatically reducing the intensity of the control field ($\Omega_c \to 0$), the group velocity of the probe pulse is driven to exactly zero, effectively trapping the pulse inside the medium.[6]

Dark-State Polaritons: The Reservoir of Photonic Energy

When the light is stopped, the paramount paradox emerges: where has the electromagnetic energy and the momentum of the probe pulse gone? An isolated, zero-velocity photon should possess no energy. The resolution dictates that the electromagnetic energy is not destroyed, but coherently mapped onto the atomic medium through the formation of a quasiparticle known as a "dark-state polariton" (DSP).[37]

The Coupled Light-Matter Hamiltonian

As the probe pulse enters the EIT medium and slows down, the strong coupling between the light and the atomic ensemble necessitates that the system be treated holistically. It can no longer be modeled as independent photons bouncing between independent atoms; instead, the fundamental eigenstate of the system is a coupled light-matter excitation.[41]
The state vector of this bosonic quasiparticle excitation can be expressed as a linear superposition of the electromagnetic field operator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ and the atomic spin coherence operator $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$:

$$\hat{\Psi}(z,t) = \cos\theta\hat{\mathcal{E}}(z,t) - \sin\theta\sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}\hat{\mathcal{S}}(z,t)$$

The mixing angle θ acts as the fulcrum of the energy transfer, dynamically determined by the ratio of the atom-field coupling to the control field Rabi frequency: $\tan\theta = \frac{g\sqrt{N}}{\Omega_c}$.[43]
When the control field is strong ($\Omega_c \gg g\sqrt{N}$), the mixing angle $\theta \to 0$, meaning the polariton is primarily composed of the electromagnetic field operator and behaves in a light-like manner.[6] However, as the control field is adiabatically turned off to stop the light ($\Omega_c \to 0$), the mixing angle shifts such that $\theta \to \frac{\pi}{2}$.[6] The polariton becomes purely matter-like.
The energy of the stopped light has not vanished in violation of conservation laws. Instead, the macroscopic reduction in the electromagnetic energy flux of the decelerating pulse is perfectly compensated by an equal increase in the internal potential energy and rest energy of the excited atomic matter.[33] The light "stays" because its quantum information and energy are temporarily encoded into the matter-based excitation.[8] As long as the atomic decoherence time is not exceeded, this energy is safely stored. Once the control field is switched back on in an adiabatic fashion, the process reverses: the atomic spin coherence is transformed back into a propagating electromagnetic wave, and the energy is retrieved.[6]

Phase Transitions and Polariton Condensation

A remarkable theoretical and experimental frontier involves treating these dark-state polaritons not merely as an abstract energy storage medium, but as independent, massive quantum particles capable of undergoing thermodynamic phase transitions.[37] Because polaritons in an EIT framework possess a controllable effective mass, they can be thermally characterized.[43]
The density of the DSPs (ρ_{DSP}) can be manipulated independently of the underlying atomic density (n).[43] Because the effective mass of the polariton is dictated entirely by the externally applied control laser fields, it is highly tunable.[43] This unique property allows researchers to dynamically alter the critical condensation temperature (T_c) of the polaritons.[43] Stationary light polaritons can theoretically undergo Bose-Einstein condensation at temperatures in the

millikelvin regime—orders of magnitude higher than the deep nanokelvin temperatures required for bare atomic BECs.[37] This presents unparalleled opportunities to study macroscopic quantum coherence, spatial controls of optical information, and superfluidity using particles that are fundamentally derived from trapped light.[37]

Stationary Light Pulses (SLPs) and Bragg Scattering

While standard EIT allows light to be stored purely as a matter wave (spin coherence), true "stationary light" involves an electromagnetic field that remains trapped with zero group velocity while still retaining a non-zero photonic, electromagnetic component. This is achieved using a stationary light pulse (SLP) protocol, which diverges from EIT by introducing a spatially periodic modulation of the medium's optical properties.[9]

Counter-Propagating Control Fields and Dynamic Gratings

In a standard EIT setup, a single co-propagating control beam is utilized. In an SLP scheme, two counter-propagating classical driving fields—a forward control beam (Ω_+) and a backward control beam (Ω_-)—are applied simultaneously to the atomic ensemble.[49] These counter-propagating beams interfere to form a standing wave of light, which spatially modulates the atomic absorption and dispersion, effectively burning a highly precise, dynamically controlled photonic Bragg grating directly into the coherent atomic medium.[51]

When a weak probe pulse is injected into this medium and the control fields are perfectly balanced ($\Omega_+ = \Omega_-$), the forward-propagating signal strongly interacts with the backward-propagating signal via the shared atomic coherence.[44] The induced Bragg scattering continually reflects the probe field back and forth over microscopic distances.[44] The net group velocity of the pulse envelope becomes strictly zero, effectively freezing the light in space.[9] Crucially, unlike the purely matter-like dark state of standard EIT, a significant portion of the energy in an SLP remains localized as an oscillating electromagnetic field bound to the atomic spin coherence.[9]

Two-Color Stationary Light and Wavelength Conversion

The energy dynamics become significantly more intricate and useful in "two-color" (TC) stationary light schemes. In these systems, the counter-propagating control fields are dichromatic, meaning they possess different frequencies (ω_{C+} and ω_{C-}) and different atomic detunings ($\Delta_+ \neq \Delta_-$).[45]

This creates a virtual double-Λ quantum system. An input quantum probe light (E_+) propagates in the forward direction. Upon the precise activation of the second, counter-propagating control field (Ω_-), a completely new quantum field (E_-) is spontaneously generated within the medium to satisfy the phase-matching constraints of the Bragg condition:

$$k_- = k_+ - \kappa_+ + \kappa_-$$

where k and κ represent the wavevectors of the probe and control fields, respectively.[45]

As the unified group velocity of the TC light is brought to zero, the input traveling field E_+ is halted, and the newly generated field E_- overlaps it spatially.[45] According to the energy conservation law, the macroscopic reduction in the electromagnetic energy flux of the decelerating pulse is compensated by a massive increase in the atomic coherence ρ_{21}.[33] The system acts as a perfectly efficient, lossless energy converter.

If the backward control field Ω_- is subsequently switched off, the generated field E_- disappears, and the original field E_+ resumes propagating in its original forward direction.[45] However, if the forward control field Ω_+ is turned off instead, the field E_+ is extinguished, and the newly generated field E_- is released, propagating backward with a entirely new carrier frequency.[45] The energy retrieved in this wavelength conversion process is drawn directly from the stored atomic coherence, explicitly proving that stationary light acts as a coherent, intermediate energy reservoir capable of deterministic frequency translation.[44]

Light Paradigm	**Medium State**	**Dominant Energy Form**	**Group Velocity (vg)**
Classical Vacuum	Empty Space	Purely Electromagnetic	c
Slow Light (EIT)	Coherent Atomic Gas	Hybrid (Light-Matter)	$0 < v_g \ll c$
Stored Light (EIT)	Pure Spin Coherence	Matter (Internal Atomic)	0
Stationary Light (SLP)	Dynamic Bragg Grating	Localized Electromagnetic + Spin	0

The quantum wavelength conversion efficiency of this process is limited only by the spatial broadening of the stationary light during the trapping time. To achieve near-unity conversion efficiency (close to 100% transfer of the average photon number to the new frequency), the light must be released before significant spatial diffusion breaks the strict Bragg phase-matching condition.[45]

Momentum Conservation and the Abraham-Minkowski Controversy

While the preservation of total energy in stationary light is elegantly explained by the transfer of kinetic energy to internal atomic states, the conservation of momentum introduces significantly more theoretical complexity. Light carries linear momentum, and when an optical pulse is brought to rest, its momentum cannot simply vanish; it must be rigorously conserved within the isolated system.[18]

Atomic Recoil and Macroscopic Momentum Transfer

When photons in a dielectric or coherent atomic medium are slowed or stopped, the loss of forward electromagnetic momentum is exactly balanced by the mechanical recoil of the atoms.[19] The sequential absorption and stimulated emission of photons during the EIT or SLP process impart physical "kicks" to the atomic ensemble.[34]

In highly sensitive systems, such as a Bose-Einstein Condensate, this momentum transfer can be directly observed as a macroscopic phase shift and physical spatial displacement of the atomic cloud.[32] The total initial four-momentum of the system is the sum of the free photon four-momentum and the rest momentum of the medium block.[57] As the field velocity drops to zero in an SLP, the momentum is fully transferred to the kinetic motion of the macroscopic medium, thereby maintaining strict adherence to the Cauchy momentum equations and the law of conservation of momentum.[16]

Resolving the Abraham-Minkowski Controversy

The discussion of momentum transfer in slow and stopped light invariably intersects with the Abraham-Minkowski controversy—a fiercely debated, century-old paradox regarding the correct mathematical formulation for the momentum density of light in a macroscopic dielectric medium.[59]

The controversy stems from two conflicting, yet theoretically sound expressions for the momentum of an electromagnetic wave in a medium with a refractive index n:

1. **Minkowski Momentum ($p_M = n\hbar k$):** Proposed by Hermann Minkowski, this formulation suggests that the momentum of light *increases* proportionally with the refractive index.[57] This aligns well with the canonical momentum used in quantum mechanics and the wave-particle duality of the photon.
2. **Abraham Momentum ($p_A = \frac{\hbar k}{n}$):** Proposed by Max Abraham, this formulation suggests that the momentum of light *decreases* as it enters a medium with a higher refractive index.[57] This formulation successfully preserves the symmetry of the stress-energy tensor and is often associated with the kinetic momentum of the field.

For over a century, theoretical derivations and specific experimental setups appeared to support both models, leading to a deep paradox regarding the correct partitioning of the energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ for electromagnetism in matter.[59]

Momentum Model	Mathematical Form	Dependency	Theoretical Alignment
Abraham	$p_A = \frac{\hbar k}{n}$	Decreases with higher index (n).	Conserves symmetry of the stress-energy tensor; aligns with kinetic momentum.
Minkowski	$p_M = n\hbar k$	Increases with higher index (n).	Breaks tensor symmetry; aligns with canonical quantum momentum.
Resolution	$p_{total} = p_{field} + p_{r}$	Total momentum is invariant.	Unifies models by explicitly separating field and material recoil contributions.

The resolution to this paradox, which is directly applicable to the physics of stopped light, lies in the realization that an electromagnetic wave propagating through a dispersive medium cannot be uniquely or physically separated from the medium itself.[59] Both Abraham and Minkowski derived mathematically correct tensors, but they arbitrarily partitioned the total energy-momentum tensor differently into "electromagnetic" and "material" subsystems.[59]

In the modern context of dark-state polaritons, the quasiparticle description inherently unifies the field and the matter into a single state vector.[57] When a strict statistical decomposition of the field and matter contributions is performed, terms involving particle properties (such as atomic mass, recoil velocity, and internal kinetic energy) naturally fall into the mechanical pressure tensor.[57] Therefore, whether a physicist arbitrarily chooses to adopt the Abraham or Minkowski definition for the isolated *field* momentum, the missing or excess momentum is always exactly accounted for by the accompanying physical movement (recoil and dipole orientation) of the *matter*.[59]

As light slows to a halt in an SLP and the group velocity vanishes, the momentum partition shifts entirely to the material tensor. The Abraham-Minkowski controversy is rendered moot because the total conserved momentum of the coupled polariton remains invariant, seamlessly resolving the momentum conservation paradox without contradicting either historical formulation.[57]

Non-Hermitian Optics and the Poynting Vector

Anomaly

The energy paradox of stationary light assumes a radically different, highly counterintuitive mathematical form in the field of non-Hermitian photonics, specifically within Parity-Time ($\mathcal{PT}$) symmetric coupled optical waveguides.[64]

Traditional quantum mechanics and optics are built upon Hermitian operators, guaranteeing real eigenvalues and strict energy conservation in closed systems. However, $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric systems are intentionally designed as open systems that balance carefully engineered regions of optical gain (amplification) and optical loss (absorption).[65] At specific parameter thresholds known as Exceptional Points (EPs), the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the system completely coalesce.[64] This topological singularity leads to highly anomalous wave dynamics, including unidirectional invisibility, enhanced sensing, and crucially, the complete stopping of light without the need for atomic resonances, ultracold temperatures, or Bragg gratings.[64]

The Failure of the Time-Averaged Poynting Vector

In classical electrodynamics, the directional flow of electromagnetic energy is quantified by the time-averaged Poynting vector, defined as:

$$\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*)$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ represents the electric field and $\mathbf{H}$ represents the magnetic field.[64]

In a standard, passive dielectric medium, if a light pulse is stopped, the time-averaged Poynting vector drops to absolute zero, correctly reflecting that no electromagnetic energy is flowing macroscopically.[64] However, a severe paradox emerges at the Exceptional Points of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric waveguides: theoretical models and advanced simulations consistently reveal that while the group velocity of the light packet is demonstrably zero (the light is undeniably stationary), the mathematically calculated time-averaged Poynting vector $\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle$ remains strictly and broadly positive.[64]

This presents a blatant logical contradiction: if electromagnetic energy is continuously flowing forward ($\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle > 0$), how can the light pulse possibly remain stationary?

The Complex Instantaneous Energy Flux Resolution

The resolution to this paradox requires abandoning the classical time-averaged approximation and returning to the fundamental, instantaneous definition of the Poynting vector:

$$\mathbf{S}(t) = \mathbf{E}(t) \times \mathbf{H}(t)$$

In non-Hermitian systems with distributed gain and loss, the optical fields $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$, as well as the Poynting vector itself, are intrinsically complex quantities containing both real and imaginary components that dictate amplitude and phase dynamics.[64]

The severe non-orthogonality of the Floquet-Bloch modes near an Exceptional Point leads to extreme spatial interference within the waveguide.[65] While the time-averaged flux may appear positive due to the dominant gain components continuously injecting energy into the system, the *instantaneous* spatially-distributed complex energy flux features wild, high-frequency spatial oscillations.[64]

The electromagnetic energy within the waveguide continuously sloshes back and forth between the gain channels and the loss channels. When the instantaneous Poynting vector is rigorously integrated over the highly complex spatial distribution of the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric unit cell, the forward and backward complex energy fluxes perfectly cancel one another out, resulting in a net group velocity of exactly zero.[64]

This mathematical proof demonstrates that stopped light at an Exceptional Point is a profound non-Hermitian interference effect. The energy paradox is resolved by recognizing that the energy is not stagnant; rather, the kinetic transport of energy is locked in a zero-sum, perpetual transverse oscillation between the coupled modes, effectively precluding any longitudinal group propagation down the waveguide.[64]

Macroscopic and Cosmological Perspectives: Massive Electrodynamics and Tired Light

The preceding sections have meticulously resolved the energy paradox of stationary light on microscopic (atomic ensembles) and mesoscopic (coupled waveguides) scales using coupled atom-field states and non-Hermitian interference. However, scaling this paradox up to cosmological distances introduces entirely different electrodynamic frameworks. If light traversing the cosmos behaves as though it is losing energy without transferring it to an intervening atomic medium, where does the energy go?

The Cosmological Energy Crisis and JWST Anomalies

In the standard model of cosmology (ΛCDM), the observed redshift of light from distant galaxies is explained by the metric expansion of space.[15] As space expands, the wavelength of propagating light is literally stretched, decreasing its frequency and proportionally lowering its energy ($E = hf$).[18] In a purely general relativistic framework, this lost energy is generally considered to not be strictly conserved in a global sense, or it is conceptually interpreted as being absorbed into the energy of the expanding gravitational field, balancing the Friedmann equations.[15]

However, recent deep-space observations from the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) have triggered a severe cosmological crisis. The telescope has detected massive, highly evolved galaxies at extreme redshifts ($z \approx 10$) that theoretically existed during the "cosmic dawn".[68] Under the standard ΛCDM timeline of a 13.8-billion-year-old universe, it is mathematically and physically impossible for such massive, mature galactic structures to have formed.[68]

This anomaly has driven a rapid renaissance in systems-architecture cosmology, explicitly reviving and modernizing "tired light" models to explain the redshift.[68] Originally proposed by Fritz Zwicky in 1929, the tired light hypothesis posits that cosmological redshift is not solely a Doppler-like effect of galactic recession, but a result of photons intrinsically losing energy (tiring) as they travel vast cosmic distances through the vacuum.[68] In these modernized frameworks, such as the CCC+TL (Covarying Coupling Constants + Tired Light) hybrid model, the universe is much older—up to 26.7 billion years—allowing ample time for JWST's massive early galaxies to form naturally.[68]

Proca Electrodynamics and the Photon Mass

If metric expansion is removed or heavily reduced, and light simply "tires" and loses energy in a pure vacuum, the energy paradox of stationary light resurfaces on a cosmic scale. A purely massless photon in a vacuum cannot intrinsically lose kinetic energy without violating standard $U(1)$ gauge invariance and the foundational tenets of Maxwell's equations.[68]

To resolve this cosmic energy paradox, modern tired-light models rely on Massive Electrodynamics, governed mathematically by the Proca Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_\gamma^2 A_\mu A^\mu$$

where m_γ represents a tiny, non-zero photon rest mass, and A_μ is the electromagnetic four-potential.[68]

By explicitly assigning a rest mass to the photon, several profound physical consequences emerge that fundamentally alter the energy constraints of light:

1. **Velocity Becomes Energy-Dependent:** The speed of light is no longer a universal constant for all frequencies. Lower-frequency (redder) photons travel slightly slower than high-frequency (bluer) photons.[68] The universal constant c transitions from being the literal velocity of light to an unreachable asymptotic limit of spacetime kinematics.[68]
2. **Longitudinal Waves:** Massive electrodynamics permits the existence of longitudinal electromagnetic waves, completely forbidden in standard transverse Maxwellian electrodynamics.[68]
3. **Direct Energy Dissipation:** A massive photon can interact directly with the gravitational background or pervasive scalar fields. As it propagates, it inherently dissipates kinetic energy (redshifting) without requiring the universe to expand physically.[68]

In higher-derivative gravity (HDG) theories, the coupling of this massive photon to the topological features of spacetime provides the exact mechanism for the energy loss. The energy the light loses is continuously transferred into the vacuum scalar field, perfectly conserving total cosmological energy in a manner directly analogous to how dark-state polaritons transfer energy to an atomic medium.[68]

The Tipikin Diffusion-Scattering Model and Time Dilation

A major historical argument against tired light was the observation of apparent time dilation in distant Type Ia supernovae.[68] In an expanding universe, the temporal spacing between photons

is stretched by a factor of $(1 + z)$, making distant supernovae appear to explode in slow motion.[68] A purely static tired light model would predict that photons lose energy, but their temporal arrival spacing remains constant, contradicting observational data.[68]
To resolve this paradox without invoking metric expansion, the Tipikin Diffusion-Scattering Model proposes that the intergalactic medium induces complex multiple-scattering events.[68] Under this framework, light is not smoothly continuous; it follows randomized, tortuous "diffusion paths" through the cosmos.[68] Photons that undergo more scattering take longer, winding paths and arrive later. This intrinsic path-length variation broadens the macroscopic light curve of the supernova. The resulting observational profile perfectly mimics the $(1 + z)$ relativistic time dilation of standard metric expansion.[68]
In this scenario, the energy lost by the "tired" light is dissipated as thermal or kinetic energy into the microscopic particles of the intergalactic medium during scattering.[68] Thus, the cosmological stationary light paradox is resolved identically to the microscopic EIT paradox: the missing energy is always absorbed by the intervening matter or scalar fields.

Technological Implications and the Future of Computing

The ability to successfully manage the energy and momentum of stopped light is not merely a theoretical curiosity; it has vast, transformative implications for modern technology, particularly in the fields of quantum computing, quantum memory, and artificial intelligence hardware.[74]

Quantum Memory and Photonic Data Storage

The deterministic transfer of photonic states to atomic spin waves represents the foundational technology for optical quantum memory.[74] In future quantum networks and the quantum internet, delicate quantum information must be synchronized across disparate geographical nodes. Storing a flying quantum state as a stationary dark-state polariton allows the optical information to be buffered, held, and released on demand without violating the no-cloning theorem or destroying the fragile quantum phase.[76]
Experimental setups utilizing cold Rubidium ensembles or solid-state Praseodymium-doped crystals ($Pr^{3+} : Y_2SiO_5$) have achieved remarkable storage times ranging from microseconds to over a minute.[29] The primary limitation on the efficiency of this energy transfer is the optical depth (OD) of the medium. Experiments demonstrate that optimal storage efficiency saturates near 50% for an OD > 50 in standard cold atomic ensembles, restricted primarily by the decoherence of the atomic states and environmental noise.[74] By combining EIT with Gradient Echo Memory, researchers can now perform quantum-compatible in-memory Fourier transforms, allowing for the direct manipulation of stored states for complex network operations.[75]

Giant Nonlinearities and Single-Photon Switching

Photons naturally interact with each other very weakly in a vacuum, making the creation of deterministic optical logic gates exceedingly difficult.[78] However, when an electromagnetic pulse is compressed into a stationary light pulse (SLP), the effective local energy density spikes astronomically, and the interaction time between photons approaches infinity.[44]

By overlapping two distinct SLPs in a highly dense coherent medium, the effective photon-photon interaction is tremendously enhanced. The strong nonlinearity mediated by the atomic coherence allows a single control photon to induce a significant cross-phase modulation (XPM) on a signal photon—up to a π phase shift.[79] This giant Kerr nonlinearity, achieved with negligible energy loss due to the high OD of the SLP subsystem, paves the way for deterministic quantum phase gates and ultra-efficient, few-photon optical switches that operate completely independent of electronic transistors.[78]

The Penn AI Computing Breakthrough

The application of stopped and slow light is expanding beyond quantum mechanics into commercial artificial intelligence hardware. In 2026, marking the 80th anniversary of ENIAC (the world's first general-purpose electronic computer), researchers at the University of Pennsylvania announced a major breakthrough in computing architectures.[82]

Modern electronic computers, which have formed the backbone of processing since the 1940s, rely on streams of electrons.[82] As artificial intelligence models grow exponentially more demanding, electron-based hardware is hitting severe physical limits.[82] Electrons possess charge and mass; as they move through materials, they generate immense heat and face electrical resistance that wastes vast amounts of energy.[82]

To bypass the electron limit, the Penn research team utilized nanoscale cavities and atomically thin materials to couple light directly into matter, creating hybrid exciton-polaritons—particles that operate on the same fundamental physics as dark-state polaritons.[82] These hybrid particles combine the unparalleled speed of light with matter's ability to interact and switch states.[82] By utilizing trapped and slowed optical states for signal switching instead of pushing electrons through silicon, this new architecture dramatically speeds up AI computing while using a fraction of the energy.[82]

Conclusion

The energy paradox of stationary light highlights a profound and beautiful intersection of classical electrodynamics, quantum optics, non-Hermitian topology, and macroscopic cosmology. If light is viewed strictly as an isolated, massless electromagnetic wave propagating through a pristine classical vacuum, the concept of it coming to a halt presents insurmountable violations of energy and momentum conservation. However, modern physics dictates that light interacting with a medium can never be decoupled from the matter it traverses.

In the microscopic domain, the paradox is elegantly resolved by the formation of dark-state polaritons. When the group velocity of light is driven to zero via Electromagnetically Induced Transparency or stationary light pulse protocols, the photonic energy does not vanish; it is coherently encoded into the internal spin states and matter excitations of the atomic medium. The missing momentum is rigorously accounted for by the macroscopic mechanical recoil of the atoms and the dynamic partitioning of the Abraham-Minkowski energy-momentum tensor, proving that the coupled field-matter system remains perfectly invariant.

In non-Hermitian parity-time symmetric systems, the paradox of stopped light coexisting with a positive time-averaged Poynting vector is resolved by abandoning time-averaged approximations and embracing the complex, instantaneous nature of energy flux, which reveals a zero-sum transverse spatial oscillation rather than longitudinal propagation.

Finally, scaled to the vast distances of the cosmos, the stationary or "tired" light paradox challenges the very foundations of ΛCDM metric expansion. Through the lens of Proca electrodynamics, giving the photon a discrete rest mass breaks classical gauge invariance and provides a mathematically sound mechanism for light to dissipate energy into scalar fields over billions of years, offering elegant alternative solutions to the temporal and structural anomalies discovered by the James Webb Space Telescope.

Ultimately, whether localized in a cryogenically cooled rubidium gas, oscillating in an engineered $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric waveguide, powering next-generation artificial intelligence hardware, or traversing the deepest expanses of the intergalactic medium, stationary light does not violate the foundational laws of physics. It simply mandates that energy and momentum be tracked across the broader, intrinsically coupled light-matter architecture of the system.

Works cited

1. E = mc² | Equation, Explanation, & Proof - Britannica, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.britannica.com/science/E-mc2-equation
2. 不明覺厲- The Elegant Universe - 豆瓣, accessed May 28, 2026, https://m.douban.com/book/review/12116511/
3. Why and how is the speed of light in vacuum constant, i.e., independent of reference frame?, accessed May 28, 2026, https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/2230/why-and-how-is-the-speed-of-light-in-vacuum-constant-i-e-independent-of-refer
4. quantum optics, photon energy, quantization, interference, particles, wave picture, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.rp-photonics.com/photons.html
5. Speed of light - Wikipedia, accessed May 28, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Speed_of_light
6. Electromagnetically induced transparency - Wikipedia, accessed May 28, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electromagnetically_induced_transparency
7. The art of taming light | SLICA Project | Results in Brief | FP7 | CORDIS - European Union, accessed May 28, 2026, https://cordis.europa.eu/article/id/175038-the-art-of-taming-light
8. Is there a fundamental limit to how slow light can travel inside a transparent material?, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskPhysics/comments/1qzizef/is_there_a_fundamental_limit_to_how_slow_light/
9. Stationary pulses of light in an atomic medium - Aldebaran, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.aldebaran.cz/bulletin/2004_11/Nature426_638.pdf
10. Stationary pulses of light in an atomic medium - PubMed, accessed May 28, 2026, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14668857/
11. Stationary pulses of light in an atomic medium - Ovid, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.ovid.com/journals/natr/fulltext/00006056-200312110-

00041~stationary-pulses-of-light-in-an-atomic-medium
12. Conservation of energy - Wikipedia, accessed May 28, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conservation_of_energy
13. Conservation of Energy: Missing Features in Its Nature and Justification and Why They Matter - PMC, accessed May 28, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8570307/
14. What If You Traveled Faster Than the Speed of Light? - Science | HowStuffWorks, accessed May 28, 2026, https://science.howstuffworks.com/science-vs-myth/what-if/what-if-faster-than-speed-of-light.htm
15. What happens to the energy that light loses by red-shifting? : r/askscience - Reddit, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/askscience/comments/pzbz4i/what_happens_to_the_energy_that_light_loses_by/
16. Momentum - Wikipedia, accessed May 28, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Momentum
17. Conservation of Momentum | Physics - Lumen Learning, accessed May 28, 2026, https://courses.lumenlearning.com/suny-physics/chapter/8-3-conservation-of-momentum/
18. Why doesn't light carry momentum? - West Texas A&M University, accessed May 28, 2026, https://wtamu.edu/~cbaird/sq/2013/06/11/why-doesnt-light-carry-momentum/
19. Conservation of Momentum – ISP209: The Mystery of the Physical World, accessed May 28, 2026, https://openbooks.lib.msu.edu/collegephysics/chapter/conservation-of-momentum/
20. Conservation of Momentum - OpenCurriculum, accessed May 28, 2026, https://opencurriculum.org/5450/conservation-of-momentum/
21. Momentum Conservation Principle - The Physics Classroom, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.physicsclassroom.com/class/momentum/lesson-2/momentum-conservation-principle
22. Stress–energy tensor - Wikipedia, accessed May 28, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stress%E2%80%93energy_tensor
23. 8 The energy momentum tensor We have now developed the necessary mathematical machinery to describe the curvature of spacetime i, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.astro.gla.ac.uk/users/martin/teaching/gr1/gr1_sec08.pdf
24. CHAPTER 6 EINSTEIN EQUATIONS 6.1 The energy-momentum tensor - Javier Rubio, accessed May 28, 2026, https://javierrubioblog.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/chapter6.pdf
25. [gr-qc/0610078] Energy and Momentum of a Stationary Beam of Light in the New General Relativity - arXiv, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0610078
26. The Elegant Universe: Superstrings, Hidden Dimensions, and the Quest for the Ultimate Theory Brian Greene - hlevkin, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.hlevkin.com/hlevkin/90MathPhysBioBooks/Physics/QED/Greene%20The%20Elegant%20Universe.pdf
27. “Aether Drag” and Moving Images: A different sort of “twin paradox” | Skulls in the

Stars, accessed May 28, 2026, https://skullsinthestars.com/2008/06/07/aether-drag-and-moving-images-a-different-sort-of-twin-paradox/
28. Electromagnetically induced transparency via electron spin coherence in a quantum well waveguide - Optica Publishing Group, accessed May 28, 2026, https://opg.optica.org/oe/fulltext.cfm?uri=oe-11-24-3298
29. Stopped Light With Storage Times Greater than 1 second using Electromagnetically Induced Transparency in a Solid, accessed May 28, 2026, https://courses.physics.illinois.edu/phys596/fa2011/StudentWork/team1_final.pdf
30. [quant-ph/0506233] Stopped light with storage times greater than one second using EIT in a solid - arXiv, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0506233
31. Drastic Slowdown of EIT Dynamics by Doppler Broadening and Its Compensation in Room-Temperature Atomic Vapor - MDPI, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2304-6732/12/11/1092
32. Electromagnetically induced transparency and slow light in quantum degenerate atomic gases - Optica Publishing Group, accessed May 28, 2026, https://opg.optica.org/abstract.cfm?uri=josab-30-11-2855
33. band electromagnetic induction transparent-like terahertz spectrum in a grating-like structure - arXiv, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2201.03841
34. Coherent control of optical information with matter wave dynamics - Harvard School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, accessed May 28, 2026, http://web-static-aws.seas.harvard.edu/haulab/publications/pdf/Ginsberg-Garner-and-Hau-Nature-445-623-(2007).pdf
35. TIL that in 1999, Harvard physicist Lene Hau was able to slow down light to 17 meters per second and in 2001, was able to stop light completely. - Reddit, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/todayilearned/comments/ophceq/til_that_in_1999_harvard_physicist_lene_hau_was/
36. Taming light with cold atoms - Physics World, accessed May 28, 2026, https://physicsworld.com/a/taming-light-with-cold-atoms/
37. Inhomogeneous mass trap for dark-state polaritons in atomic media - arXiv, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2603.18451v1
38. Rydberg Electromagnetically Induced Transparency - Heidelberg University, accessed May 28, 2026, https://archiv.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/volltextserver/30661/1/Dissertation_AnnikaTebben.pdf
39. Polaritonic quantum matter - PMC - NIH, accessed May 28, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12617732/
40. Quantum Nonlinear Optics Using Ensembles - DSpace@MIT, accessed May 28, 2026, https://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/84393/867861321-MIT.pdf?sequence=2
41. The role of dark polariton states for electronic strong coupling in molecules - arXiv, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2504.20798v1
42. Dark-State Polaritons in Electromagnetically Induced Transparency, accessed May 28, 2026, https://oamonitor.ireland.openaire.eu/national/search/publication?pid=10.1103%2Fphysrevlett.84.5094

43. (PDF) Bose-Einstein Condensation of Stationary-Light Polaritons - ResearchGate, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/23464178_Bose-Einstein_Condensation_of_Stationary-Light_Polaritons
44. 1 Quantum manipulation of two-color stationary light: Quantum wavelength conversion - arXiv, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/0512165
45. 1 Quantum manipulation of two-color stationary light: Quantum ..., accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0512165
46. Storing and manipulating quantum information using atomic ensembles - Boulder School for Condensed Matter and Materials Physics, accessed May 28, 2026, https://boulderschool.yale.edu/sites/default/files/files/boulder_lukin.pdf
47. Generation and coherent control of dark-state spatial modes - Optica Publishing Group, accessed May 28, 2026, https://opg.optica.org/ol/fulltext.cfm?uri=ol-50-13-4302
48. Book of Abstracts | ICAP 2024, accessed May 28, 2026, https://icap28.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/Abstract-book-by-program-code.pdf
49. arXiv:2208.06109v2 [quant-ph] 24 Aug 2022, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2208.06109
50. Enhancement of optical nonlinearities with stationary light - Niels Bohr Institutet, accessed May 28, 2026, https://nbi.ku.dk/english/theses/phd-theses/ivan-iakoupov/PhD_Thesis_Ivan_Iakopov.pdf
51. Towards quantum control of single photons using atomic memory - Weizmann Institute of Science, accessed May 28, 2026, https://conferences.weizmann.ac.il/frisno8/presentations05/tuesday/lukin.pdf
52. Slow, Storing, and Stationary Light Pulses for Quantum Information Manipulation, accessed May 28, 2026, http://www.phys.nthu.edu.tw/~colloquium/2010S/100512-0521%20Colloquium%20Talk%20(Ite%20Yu).pdf
53. Book of Abstracts - ICAP 2024, accessed May 28, 2026, https://icap28.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/Abstract-book-by-category.pdf
54. Stationary pulses of light in an atomic medium, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0311092
55. Foundations of Quantum Mechanics Revealed by the Conservation Laws - Qeios, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.qeios.com/read/ROFQKG.6
56. The conservation laws in quantum mechanics revisited and extended - Qeios, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.qeios.com/read/ROFQKG.2
57. Photon momentum and optical forces in cavities - DTU Inside, accessed May 28, 2026, https://backend.orbit.dtu.dk/ws/portalfiles/portal/139717331/hkkr_1707.04505.pdf
58. Photon momentum and optical forces in cavities - arXiv, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1707.04505
59. In substances where light is slowed down, is its momentum lessened and if so, how does it speed up again when emerging from that substance? [duplicate], accessed May 28, 2026, https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/577653/in-substances-where-light-is-slowed-down-is-its-momentum-lessened-and-if-so-ho
60. Momentum of Light in a Dielectric Medium - Optica Publishing Group, accessed

May 28, 2026, https://opg.optica.org/abstract.cfm?uri=aop-2-4-519
61. Solution and testing of the Abraham-Minkowski controversy in light-atom interacting system, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/48166507_Solution_and_testing_of_the_Abraham-Minkowski_controversy_in_light-atominteracting_system
62. The Mechanics of Macroscopic Electrodynamics - arXiv, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2504.10532v4
63. Abraham-Minkowski Controversy | Bohrium, accessed May 28, 2026, https://waf-www-bohrium-com-hngfcxduded0fmhr.a03.azurefd.net/en/sciencepedia/feynman/keyword/abraham_minkowski_controversy
64. Revisit the Poynting vector in P T-symmetric coupled waveguides - Optica Publishing Group, accessed May 28, 2026, https://opg.optica.org/abstract.cfm?uri=oe-30-21-38753
65. arXiv:2210.00273v1 [physics.optics] 1 Oct 2022, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2210.00273
66. (PDF) Revisit the Poynting vector in $\mathcal {PT}$ -symmetric, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/364192888_Revisit_the_Poynting_vector_in_mathcal_PT_-symmetric_coupled_waveguides
67. [2210.00273] Revisit the Poynting vector in PT-symmetric coupled waveguides - arXiv, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.00273
68. Responding to Skepticism_ Tired Light.md
69. Basic astronomical phenomena: Physics - DOKUMEN.PUB, accessed May 28, 2026, https://dokumen.pub/basic-astronomical-phenomena-physics.html
70. How does conservation of energy work with time dilation? - Physics Stack Exchange, accessed May 28, 2026, https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/827489/how-does-conservation-of-energy-work-with-time-dilation
71. How is energy conserved for a redshifted/blushifted photon? : r/askscience - Reddit, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/askscience/comments/3lsh4r/how_is_energy_conserved_for_a/
72. A Simple Explanation for the Red Shift | Science versus Truth, accessed May 28, 2026, https://sciencevstruth.org/2016/03/08/a-simple-experiment-to-debunk-the-big-bang-myth/
73. Effective mass of photons and the existence of heavy photons in photonic crystals | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231005888_Effective_mass_of_photons_and_the_existence_of_heavy_photons_in_photonic_crystals
74. Stopped Light With Storage Times Greater than 1 second using Electromagnetically Induced Transparency in a Solid J.J Londell, E. Fravel, M.J. Sellars and N.B. Manson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 063601 (2005), accessed May 28, 2026, https://courses.physics.illinois.edu/phys596/fa2011/StudentWork/team1_poster_final.ppt
75. Photonic time-frequency Fourier transform and temporal double slit using

quantum-compatible atomic memory - Optica Publishing Group, accessed May 28, 2026, https://opg.optica.org/opticaq/abstract.cfm?uri=opticaq-4-2-162
76. Quantum Memory Platform - CQC2T, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.cqc2t.org/quantum-memory-platform/
77. Optical storage with electromagnetically induced transparency in a dense cold atomic ensemble - Optica Publishing Group, accessed May 28, 2026, https://opg.optica.org/ol/fulltext.cfm?uri=ol-36-23-4530
78. Few photon switching with slow light in hollow fiber - Experimental Atomic Physics Group, accessed May 28, 2026, https://eapg.mit.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/2009spiereportatomsinfiber.pdf
79. Numerical study of large cross-phase modulation with stationary light pulses, accessed May 28, 2026, https://opg.optica.org/abstract.cfm?uri=josab-38-6-1834
80. 1 Manipulation of Two-Color Stationary Light Using Coherence Moving Gratings - arXiv, accessed May 28, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/0512042
81. Novel Techniques for Optical Signal Processing Based on Stationary Pulses Of Light - DTIC, accessed May 28, 2026, https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/tr/pdf/ADA561808.pdf
82. Forget electrons, this breakthrough uses light-matter particles to power AI | ScienceDaily, accessed May 28, 2026, https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2026/05/260518041341.htm