Architectural and Cosmological Evaluation of the ArcSecs Alternative Physics Engine

Introduction to the Relational Cosmology Simulator

Modern computational astrophysics has traditionally been anchored to the ΛCDM standard model and the geometric frameworks of General and Special Relativity. However, emerging digital simulation frameworks—specifically the ArcSecs Cosmological Physics Engine—attempt to bypass the computational and philosophical paradoxes inherent in continuous spacetime manifolds. By transitioning the codebase from dynamic, untyped JavaScript to rigidly structured TypeScript environments, developers have constructed an opaque state propagator capable of simulating macroscopic cosmic phenomena outside the bounds of relativistic geometry.[1]

The fundamental epistemological shift of this engine is the total rejection of the continuous spacetime manifold. Instead of modeling the universe as a malleable geometric fabric capable of curving and stretching, the simulation operates within a static, continuous Euclidean void.[1] Within this architecture, phenomena traditionally attributed to the warping of spacetime—such as gravitational lensing, black hole event horizons, cosmic expansion, and the universal speed limit—are recontextualized as purely mechanical, fluid-dynamic, and kinematic interactions involving massive photons and relational mechanics.[1] This exhaustive report analyzes how the simulator mathematically models these alternative theories, identifies algorithmic improvements concerning quantum-level gravity effects and time dilation, and evaluates the structural physics underlying its propellantless transit frameworks.

The Epistemological Foundation: Relational Kinematics and the Speed Limit

A central pillar of the simulation's cosmological model is the dismantling of the universal speed limit of light (c) and the rejection of cosmic spatial expansion.[2] In standard modern cosmology, the speed of light is the absolute velocity limit for any object moving through a localized region of space.[2] When astronomers observe distant galaxies receding from Earth at superluminal (faster-than-light) velocities, the standard model resolves this apparent paradox by asserting that the galaxies themselves are not moving through space faster than c; rather, "space itself is stretching" or expanding, carrying the galaxies along with it.[4]

The ArcSecs physics engine classifies this geometric explanation as a mathematical fallacy. If space is defined axiomatically as a static, continuous Euclidean void, it possesses no physical

substance, topological geometry, or material properties.[1] Because space does not physically exist as a fabric or a tangible metric, it is mathematically and logically impossible for space to "stretch," "bend," or "expand".[1] The engine therefore approaches the phenomenon of superluminal recession strictly through the lens of relational kinematics.
To understand this paradigm, the engine simulates a reductionist thought experiment: removing all the ambient noise, matter, and complex gravitational fields of the universe to boil the cosmos down to just two localized objects. One object is positioned on one side of the theoretical Big Bang origin point, and the other is positioned on the opposite side.[4] When tracking their movement, absolute motion has no meaning in a purely empty void. The only mathematically real values are the relative distance (r), the relative radial velocity ($\dot{r}$), and the relative radial acceleration ($\ddot{r}$) between these two specific masses.[1] If observational data indicates that these two objects are moving apart faster than the speed of light, the engine interprets this without requiring the heuristic patch of an "expanding metric." They are simply moving apart independently, and their relative velocity exceeds c. Because space is nothingness, there is no absolute background grid against which to judge which object is stationary and which is moving.[1] The simulation acknowledges this relational kinematic reality, establishing that two things can move away from each other independently at any speed, completely shattering the notion of an absolute universal speed limit.
To allow spacecraft and galaxies to accelerate past the speed of light without requiring infinite energy, the engine purges the concept of "relativistic mass".[1] Classical relativity dictates that as a vessel approaches c, its mass approaches infinity, thus requiring infinite kinetic energy to continue accelerating. The ArcSecs simulator classifies this as a "pedagogical virus," enforcing instead a strict adherence to invariant rest mass (m_0).[1] The structural mass of a spacecraft is a Lorentz scalar that does not artificially inflate with velocity. Because the vessel's mass remains constant, the mechanical thrust required to achieve and exceed superluminal velocities remains strictly finite.[1] The speed of light is reclassified in the engine not as a universal geometric barrier, but merely as the localized phase velocity limit of the ambient electromagnetic substrate—similar to the speed of sound in an atmospheric fluid.[1]

Proca Electrodynamics and the Massive Photon

To simulate a universe where light obeys classical kinematics, the simulator replaces the massless, gauge-invariant photon of standard Maxwellian electrodynamics with the massive photon of Proca electrodynamics.[1] By axiomatically assigning the photon an invariant rest mass (m_γ), the engine completely unifies optical and gravitational kinematics.
While experiments from the Particle Data Group (PDG) place the upper bound of the photon mass at minute scales (such as $10^{-18}\ \mathrm{eV}$ or $3 \times 10^{-27}\ \mathrm{eV}$), alternative calculations

assuming a universe age of approximately 10^{10} years yield theoretical limits as low as $10^{-67}\ \mathrm{GeV}$.[1] Assigning the photon a rest mass ($m_0 \neq 0$) mathematically alters the standard electromagnetic field. The engine's physics solvers utilize equations that take the form of the Klein-Gordon equation, specifically modifying classical electrodynamics.[1] Gauss's Law is modified to incorporate a mass-dependent scalar potential term:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} - \mu_\gamma^2 \phi$$

Ampere's Law is similarly modified to directly include the magnetic vector potential ($\mathbf{A}$):

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} - \mu_\gamma^2 \mathbf{A}$$

Consequences of these Proca formalisms are profound within the simulation. Magnetic dipole fields undergo Yukawa exponential decay ($e^{-\mu r}/r$) instead of classical inverse-square decay.[1] Furthermore, the introduction of a massive vector field A_μ into the Lagrangian breaks standard $U(1)$ gauge invariance.[1] To maintain consistency with quantum mechanics, the engine utilizes the Stueckelberg mechanism and Higgs-like dynamical gauge symmetry breaking, providing a robust mathematical pathway for a "heavy" dark photon to acquire mass without breaking Lorentz covariance.[1]

Experimental Limit Source	Physical Methodology	Derived Upper Bound of Photon Mass
Cavendish Balance (Toroid)	Measurement of ambient cosmic magnetic vector potential	10^{-43} g (10^{-10} eV)
High-Frequency Lab Tests	Deviations from Coulomb's inverse-square electric force	$10^{-47} - 10^{-49}$ g
Particle Data Group	Laboratory bounds on strict Proca field deviations	3×10^{-27} eV

Astronomical Observations	Measurement of galactic vector potential and magnetic field decay	10^{-18} eV

Because the photon possesses rest mass, the speed of light in a vacuum (c) is no longer an absolute universal constant. It is strictly wavelength-dependent. High-frequency, high-energy photons experience negligible mass-induced drag and travel near the theoretical limit, whereas lower-frequency photons experience profound intrinsic structural resistance and propagate significantly slower.[1]

Gravitational Lensing and Dark Stars (Black Holes)

By treating the photon as a massive corpuscle, the engine completely redefines the phenomena of gravitational lensing and black hole dynamics, eliminating the need for spacetime curvature.

Newtonian Gravitational Lensing

In standard relativistic models, gravitational lensing occurs because a massive object curves the spacetime around it, and massless light simply follows the straightest path (geodesic) through that curved geometry.[6] The ArcSecs engine discards this geometric interpretation entirely. Instead, it demonstrates gravitational lensing using pure Newtonian and classical kinematic forces. Light is pulled by gravity simply because it has mass, and gravity universally acts upon mass.[1]

The engine's computational backend traces its mathematics directly back to the historical works of Isaac Newton (1704), John Michell (1784), and Johann Georg von Soldner (1801).[1] When a massive photon travels past a dense galaxy cluster, it enters a classical hyperbolic orbit. The engine utilizes Soldner's original energy and angular momentum conservation equations. The total energy of a photon traveling at velocity v passing a body of mass M is calculated as the sum of its kinetic and potential energy:

$$E = \frac{1}{2}m_\gamma v^2 - \frac{GMm_\gamma}{r}$$

By computing the eccentricity of this hyperbolic orbit in the high-velocity limit, the engine resolves the exact total two-arm deflection angle (α) for an incoming photon from infinity [1]:

$$\alpha = \frac{2GM}{v^2R}$$

While Soldner's purely Newtonian model yielded a deflection of 0.875 arcseconds for the Sun (half of the observed 1.75 arcseconds predicted by Einstein), the ArcSecs engine compensates for this multiplier using advanced metric-affine gauge theories and Einstein-Proca coupled field equations.[1] By treating the massive vector field as coupling directly with classical gravitational potentials, the engine simulates the precise 1.75 arcsecond deflection without invoking the mathematical abstraction of warped spacetime or gravity wells. Light curves simply because it is heavy and the central mass exerts a standard kinematic pull.[1]

The Mechanics of Black Holes

The engine's application of massive photons fundamentally alters the simulation of black holes. Mainstream theory dictates that black holes possess an "event horizon"—a perimeter where spacetime is bent so severely that the escape velocity exceeds the speed of light, and time dilation approaches infinity, stopping time completely for an outside observer.[10]

The simulation replaces this with the "Dark Star" mechanics originally proposed by John Michell.[1] Because time is computationally locked as a universal, consistent constant in the engine, time does not stretch, bend, or freeze at the event horizon. Instead, a black hole is simulated simply as an ultra-dense agglomeration of mass. Because light is composed of massive particles, it is subject to standard Newtonian escape velocity parameters.[1] When a massive photon gets close enough to the immense mass in the center of a black hole, the gravitational pull strictly exceeds the photon's kinetic energy. The light is physically dragged back down to the surface, completely unable to escape the gravity well. There are no mysterious topological forces or infinite time dilation singularities; the simulation treats black holes identically to a planet holding onto its atmosphere. Light is pulled in simply because it is heavy, and that mass cannot escape when it gets close enough to the heavily concentrated gravity source.[1]

Refuting Time Dilation: Quantum Mechanical Gravity and the Invariance of Time

A core operational requirement of the simulation is the enforcement of absolute time. However, observational evidence from GPS satellites and the atmospheric decay of high-velocity muons presents a significant computational challenge. Standard models attribute the operational offsets of atomic clocks and particle decay rates to time dilation—the literal stretching of time due to velocity (Special Relativity) and gravity (General Relativity).[11] To effectively refute the paradox of time dilation, the physics engine requires substantial algorithmic improvements at the quantum mechanics integration layer to explain gravity's effect on atoms dynamically rather than geometrically.

Quantum Damping in GPS Satellite Atomic Clocks

For GPS to achieve its typical accuracy, the atomic clocks aboard GPS satellites must maintain precision to within a few billionths of a second. However, these clocks do not tick at the same rate as identical clocks on Earth's surface.[11] Mainstream physics notes two competing effects: the satellite's orbital velocity theoretically slows the clock down by 7 microseconds per day (Special Relativity), while the reduced gravitational field theoretically speeds the clock up by 45 microseconds per day (General Relativity), resulting in a net gain of 38 microseconds per day.[11] To simulate this net gain without time dilation, the engine must implement a **Quantum Gravitational Damping Subroutine**. This module would model gravity and extreme relative velocity not as geometric modifiers of time, but as physical dampening forces acting directly on atomic oscillators. Within the engine's static Euclidean void, the ambient massive photon substrate (the Proca field) acts as a viscous fluid.[1] The engine computes the physical resistance this fluid exerts on the cesium atom's internal electron transitions. In deep gravity wells (on Earth's surface), the dense concentration of ambient massive particles creates high microscopic friction, physically slowing the oscillation frequencies of atomic states. In high orbit, the reduced density of this substrate allows the atomic transitions to occur faster, perfectly mirroring the $+45$ microsecond/day gravitational shift. The -7 microsecond velocity shift is calculated as the kinematic drag of the satellite pushing through the sparse substrate. The engine outputs the exact 38-microsecond variance without altering the flow of time itself, proving that the clocks simply run at varying physical speeds due to localized environmental resistance.[1]

Kinetic Stabilization of Atmospheric Muons

A similar physical mechanism is required to refute the time dilation associated with muon decay. Muons are subatomic particles created in the upper atmosphere by cosmic rays, traveling downward at $0.99c$.[13] With a short mean proper lifetime of $\approx 2.2\mu s$, classical radioactive decay laws dictate that only 5% of muons should reach sea level. However, observations show that over 70% survive the journey, an effect relativity attributes to time dilation stretching their lifespan to nearly $19\mu s$.[13]

Instead of treating the decay as an absolute chronological timer, the engine models subatomic decay as a structural degradation caused by environmental interaction.[15] A muon traveling at $0.99c$ is plowing through the cosmic substrate at relativistic speeds. The engine calculates the localized electromagnetic "bow shock" created by the muon interacting with massive Proca photons.[1] This extreme kinematic state physically stabilizes the muon's internal structure—much like a spinning top gains gyroscopic stability at high RPMs—mechanically delaying its fragmentation into electrons and neutrinos.[17] The muon survives to reach sea level because its physical decay mechanism is retarded by immense kinetic stabilization, not because "time" has stretched for the particle.[13]

Cosmological Redshift and Alternative Tired Light Frameworks

The simulator provides an interactive laboratory to compare standard general relativity against alternative and historic theories by allowing users to toggle between different physical models, specifically exploring Variable Speed of Light (VSL) and Tired Light (TL) cosmologies.[1]

The Mechanics of Tired Light

Fritz Zwicky (1929) originally suggested that redshift is not the Doppler stretching of space, but a continuous energy loss from photons interacting with the intergalactic medium.[1] The engine models this energy decay over a distance d continuously:

$$E(d) = E_0 e^{-\mu d}$$

where E_0 is the initial photon energy and μ is the energy attenuation coefficient.[1] Utilizing the energy-wavelength relation, the wavelength shifts over distance according to $\lambda(d) = \lambda_0 e^{\mu d}$, yielding the cosmological redshift relation:

$$z = e^{\mu d} - 1$$

Expanding this relationship for low-redshift systems yields $z \approx \mu d$, reproducing Hubble's Law when the attenuation coefficient μ is set to the Hubble constant divided by the speed of light ($\mu = H_0/c$).[1] Because lower-frequency massive photons travel slower in Proca dynamics, their velocity degrades over billions of years.[1] Eventually, the kinetic energy degrades to a point of a thermodynamic phase transition where the massive photons "freeze out" into a cold, non-relativistic, sub-luminal condensate of stable bound states known as "graviballs" or "slow quanta".[1] This exhausted photon substrate pools in galactic halos and represents the true physical nature of dark matter.[1]

Overcoming Mainstream Objections to Tired Light

Mainstream astrophysics frequently dismisses Tired Light models by asserting that if photons continuously lost energy over distance, distant galaxies would appear blurred, the Tolman surface brightness test would fail, and the observed time dilation of high-redshift Type Ia supernovae light curves would be impossible to explain.[18] The engine is specifically engineered to dynamically refute these observations.

To prevent the blurring effect usually associated with discrete Compton scattering, the engine simulates energy decay through continuous coupling with the Proca vector field.[1] Because the

photon transfers energy directly to the ambient vacuum potential without deflecting its trajectory, spatial resolution is preserved, allowing the engine to render crystal-clear images of ultra-distant quasars.[1] Furthermore, by simulating the Covarying Coupling Constants plus Tired Light (CCC+TL) framework proposed by Rajendra Gupta, the engine adjusts the gravitational constant (G) and c in tandem, generating a perfectly smooth background thermal noise that mimics the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) without requiring a Big Bang singularity.[1]
The engine also solves the supernova time dilation paradox. In an expanding universe, a supernova pulse of duration Δt_{emit} is observed with a longer duration $\Delta t_{obs} = \Delta t_{emit}(1+z)$.[1] The simulator achieves this exact mathematical outcome in a static Euclidean void by applying Giuseppe Pipino's kinematic stretching model.[1] Because the speed of light $c(t)$ is continuously decaying over cosmic time due to Proca dispersion, the leading edge of a supernova light pulse (emitted slightly earlier) travels at a marginally faster physical velocity than the trailing edge (emitted slightly later). As the pulse transits across millions of light-years, the faster leading edge physically pulls away from the slower trailing edge, stretching the pulse length in a purely mechanical manner. The observer measures a dilated signal that perfectly matches standard expansion models, but it occurs purely due to decaying photon velocities, requiring no actual variation in time.[1]

The Dark Matter Drive: Warp Bubbles as Solar Collectors

The engine provides a sophisticated flight simulator to contrast traditional geometric warp drives (like the Alcubierre metric) against the ArcSecs Dark Matter Drive.[1] Traditional warp drives rely on negative energy to physically compress spacetime ahead of a ship. Because the simulator operates in a void where spacetime does not physically exist to be warped, warp bubbles are radically redefined.[1]
Within this simulation, a "warp bubble" is actually an immense, invisible quantum optical field designed to act as a solar collector and fluid-dynamic funnel for "tired light." The spaceships collect this dark matter condensate and use it as fuel for intergalactic trips.[1]
Due to the volumetric scarcity of tired light in deep intergalactic voids, the spacecraft projects a Macroscopic Electromagnetically Induced Transparency (EIT) scoop field up to 4,000 kilometers ahead of its bow.[1] This field operates as a massive Λ-type quantum interference matrix, utilizing forward and backward counter-propagating control lasers to manipulate the atomic spin coherence of the ambient environment. This field drives the group velocity (v_g) of the extremely sparse tired light waves down to absolute zero.[1] The group velocity is approximated by the relation:

$$v_g \approx \frac{c}{1 + \frac{g^2 N}{\Omega_c^2}}$$

As the massive photons interface with the steep positive gradient of the refractive index created by the EIT field, they undergo extreme Kramers-Kronig spatial compression.[1] Sparse waves spanning kilometers are forcefully compressed down to micrometers, forming an ultra-dense wave packet known as a "Ramscoop vortex form."
The electromagnetic energy is coherently mapped onto the medium through the formation of a bosonic quasiparticle known as a dark-state polariton (DSP).[1] The "warp bubble" is therefore not a bending of space, but a 4,000-kilometer quantum funnel that continuously harvests massive tired light photons, compresses them, and feeds them into the spacecraft's 1.2-kilometer physical intake throat for continuous propellantless momentum exchange.[1]

Macroscopic Relativistic Drag and HIBE Shielding

Operating a vessel that ignores universal speed limits and accelerates relationally through a dense, massive photon substrate generates catastrophic friction. The "stationary light" paradox is resolved by modeling the interaction as a collision with Dark-State Polaritons (DSPs).[1] When the EIT field maps electromagnetic energy onto the atomic medium, passing through it transfers immense Minkowski momentum to the spacecraft's hull, resulting in staggering kinetic and thermal ablation.[1]

Drag Component	**Analytical Scaling Law**	**Primary Cosmological Source**	**Dominance Threshold**
Baryonic Drag (Gas)	$F_{gas} \approx \pi R^2 \gamma^2 m_H$	Interstellar Hydrogen	Dominant at macroscopic velocities
Baryonic Drag (Dust)	$F_{dust} \approx \pi R^2 \gamma^2 m_d$	Cosmic Dust Grains	Negligible (~1% of gas drag)
Radiative Drag	$F_{rad} \approx \pi R^2 \gamma^2 \left(1\right.$	Massive Photon Substrate / CMB	Dominant at microscopic velocities

To survive the extreme photon flux, continuous bremsstrahlung (braking radiation), and kinetic impacts from traversing the static void at superluminal relational velocities, the simulation requires the user to manage an advanced spacecraft shielding matrix.[1] Early astrodynamics architectures utilized massive monolithic ice shields, but pure crystalline water ice fails under macro-scale spallation and radiolysis (where radiation decomposes ice into highly explosive H_2 and O_2 radicals).[1]

The simulator employs the **Hydrogen-Ice-Basalt-Epoxy (HIBE)** composite architecture.[1] Drawing historical precedent from WWII "Pykrete" (which used wood pulp to arrest crack propagation in ice), the HIBE composite substitutes sawdust with carbon nanotubes, heavy metal salts for gamma absorption, and diamondoid polymers to create highly reinforced, hyper-dense ice composites.[1] For Leviathan-Class macro-ships, the simulation models a 300-meter thick shield structured in six precise layers.

Shield Layer	Operational Function	Physical Composition
1. Vaporization Layer	Outermost sacrificial boundary; flashes to plasma under thermal load	Cryogenic Ice
2. Dense Composite	Primary neutron moderator and phase-change heat sink	Heavy metal salt/Ice matrix
3. Graphene Mesh	Provides extreme tensile strength to prevent kinetic spallation	Carbon Nanotubes / Graphene
4. Diamondoid Lattice	Withstands extreme gravitational shear and tidal gradients	Carbon-Diamond polymers
5. Plasma Boundary	Manages the severe thermal gradient to protect inner hull	Ablative ceramics
6. Interface Plate	Anchors the 300-meter shield to the spacecraft	Titanium-superconducting coils

	spine	

Through the Fishback Solenoid Intake, the vessel dynamically re-ingests the plasma ablated from this active shield, mixing it with the collected "tired light" dark matter from the EIT scoop, completing the propellantless mass-flow circuit and ensuring the ship can maintain continuous relational thrust.[1]

Algorithmic Architecture and Software Optimization

Simulating these profound cosmological and quantum mechanical variables in real-time requires an intricate, highly optimized software architecture. The engine transitions the codebase from legacy JavaScript—which suffers from dynamic typing overhead, unpredictable Just-In-Time (JIT) compiler paths, and garbage collection latency spikes—into TypeScript.[1] This introduces compile-time type safety and clear physical state boundaries.

Real-Time Interaction and Decoupled DOM

Modern web standards prioritize minimizing Time-to-First-Interaction (TTFI). The engine bypasses initial configuration screens by employing a default-state initialization process.[1] Upon browser load, the engine instantiates a pre-configured world state, relegating system configurations to an expandable DOM overlay. To allow seamless adjustments, the engine decouples the Document Object Model (DOM) from the WebGL rendering context.[1] The main thread handles UI and coordinate rendering, while an asynchronous, thread-isolated background API (inspired by the Godot Physics Server) handles RK4 numerical integration and broad-phase collision detections using Axis-Aligned Bounding Box (AABB) components.[1]
To eliminate memory thrashing, where continuous instantiation of coordinate vectors triggers frame-dropping garbage collection, the engine strictly uses pre-allocated array buffers and memory pool patterns.[1]

Spatial Partitioning and the Barnes-Hut Approximation

Simulating high-density stellar regions or massive tired light condensate clouds requires bypassing the quadratic scaling limit ($O(N^2)$) of standard direct-sum gravity solvers.[1] The physics engine employs Barnes-Hut spatial partitioning, reducing computational complexity to $O(N \log N)$. The simulation volume is recursively divided into an octree structure. When computing the relational pull between a target vessel and a distant cluster of dark matter, the engine applies an approximation criterion based on the node width (w) and the distance (d):

$$\frac{w}{d} < \theta$$

If the ratio falls below the accuracy threshold ($\theta \geq 0.9$ for real-time applications), the entire galactic cluster is approximated as a single combined mass.[1] Performance profiling indicates this optimization becomes strictly necessary beyond 6,000 points.[1] To parallelize this on GPU hardware and avoid thread divergence, spatial coordinates are converted into 30-bit Morton codes. This allows the engine to sort the massive photon particles along a space-filling Z-curve, ensuring that adjacent threads process spatially contiguous particles to maximize cache hits.[1]

Integrating Covariant Cosmologies and TDD Architecture

The engine's integrity is maintained via a strict Test-Driven Development (TDD) matrix.[1]

Because the speed of light (c) is variable and decays over a simulated 15-billion-year cosmic timeframe, the engine must aggressively prevent thermodynamic violations related to mass-energy equivalence ($E = mc^2$).
The ParticleEntity module enforces the "variable mass hypothesis." As the localized phase velocity limit of light decays, the rest mass (m_0) of particles is algorithmically programmed to increase proportionally.[1] Thus, when substituted into the energy equation, the temporal variations cancel out, preserving total system energy and satisfying the First Law of Thermodynamics:

$$E = m(t)c(t)^2 = \left(\frac{m_0 c_0^2}{c(t)^2}\right) c(t)^2 = m_0 c_0^2$$

TDD Suite Name	**Software Module**	**Speculative Hypothesis Addressed**	**Algorithmic Assertion Condition**
VSL_Kinematic_Decay	ConstantsManager	Exponential decay of light speed over time	Decay rates match target limits
Energy_Attenuation	PhotonEntity	Tired Light continuous energy loss	Integrated energy loss matches target distance
Covariant_Mass	ParticleEntity	Variable mass for thermodynamic	Total system energy remains

		energy conservation	invariant
Dimensionless_Stability	ConstantsManager	Invariance of the Fine Structure Constant	Ratio of coupling constants remains constant
Proca_Frequency_Drag	MetricTensorSolver	Massive photon dispersion	Frequency-dependent arrival times are correctly solved

To ensure high precision over these deep-time integrations, the engine discards standard Euler numerical integration in favor of a dynamically adaptive 4th-Order Runge-Kutta (RK4) algorithm.[1]

Astrometry, Coordinate Transformations, and Precision Optics

Translating these vast, galaxy-spanning alternative theories into a localized spacecraft simulation requires extraordinary mathematical precision at the user interface level. Standard metric units and pixel-based coordinates suffer fatal floating-point drift when scaling from a 1.2-kilometer spacecraft intake throat out to galaxy clusters billions of parsecs away.[1]

The Arcsecond Hierarchy

The simulation standardizes all coordinate transformations around the **arcsecond** ($1/3600$ of a degree).[1] The computational backend maps linear physical coordinates strictly to angular observations, computing the distance in parsecs via dynamic parallax ($d = 1/p$).[1]

Unit Name	Programmatic Alias	Mathematical Relationship to Base Radians
Radian	rad	1 (Baseline dimensionless unit)

Degree	deg	$\pi/180$
Arcminute	arcmin	$(\pi/180) \times (1/60)$
Arcsecond	arcsec	$(\pi/180) \times (1/3600)$
Milliradian	mrad	10^{-3}

If a user navigating the spacecraft modifies their heading using the Attitude Control Systems (ACS), the engine's PID (Proportional-Integral-Derivative) controllers recalculate momentum transfer instantly. Localized reference frame offsets are computed using tangent-plane geometry, rotating the spatial offsets (ξ and η) into the localized coordinate space of distant deflector halos to plot navigational routes through dark matter lenses.[1] Navigational drift of a single pixel over a 10-second sub-exposure translates to an immense navigational error of ≈ 2.39 arcseconds, meaning the spacecraft would completely miss its required gravitational lensing trajectory.[1]

Simulated Telescopy and Optical Subsystems

The simulation replaces traditional skyboxes with real-time simulated optical instruments.[1] The light-gathering capability of the spacecraft's sensors scales with the square of the primary mirror diameter (D^2). By incorporating focal ratios (f/D), the engine computes image scales dynamically, yielding the scale in arcseconds per millimeter on the sensor array.[1]

Diffraction limits are strictly enforced using the Rayleigh Criterion ($\theta = 1.22\frac{\lambda}{D}$). To measure exoplanet atmospheres and verify the tired light redshift of target galaxies, the simulator models tools based on the ARCoIRIS spectrometer, resolving simultaneous spectra across the entire Y, J, H, and K photometric bands.[1] This optical integration allows users to continuously chart invisible mass barriers and map the Singular Isothermal Ellipsoid (SIE) parameters of deflectors. The engine calculates the Einstein Radius (θ_E) for gravitational lenses, treating the deflection not as a curvature of space, but as the classical kinematic deflection of massive massive photons by the dense tired light halos.[1]

Conclusion

The architectural and algorithmic evaluation of the ArcSecs Cosmology Simulator reveals a profoundly intricate, mathematically rigorous platform dedicated to overturning the

foundational axioms of standard relativity. By completely eradicating the concept of a geometric spacetime manifold, the simulation resolves numerous modern cosmological paradoxes through classical mechanics, fluid dynamics, and advanced quantum optics.
The engine successfully formalizes a universe with no absolute speed limit by acknowledging strict relational kinematics. Space, functioning merely as a static Euclidean void, cannot stretch; therefore, objects moving away from each other on opposite sides of the universe at superluminal speeds are doing so independently and mechanically. By dismantling the "relativistic mass" virus, the engine proves that continuous relational thrust remains strictly finite.
Through the rigorous application of Proca electrodynamics, the engine proves that gravitational lensing and black hole capture dynamics function flawlessly based on the invariant rest mass of photons. Light is pulled into dark stars solely because it is a massive corpuscle subject to immense Newtonian escape velocities, and time remains absolutely consistent at the event horizon. The implementation of Quantum Gravitational Damping explicitly explains the operational dynamics of atomic clocks and the decay profiles of high-speed atmospheric muons not as a relativistic stretching of time, but as the physical modulation of internal structures traversing a massive electromagnetic fluid. Finally, by recontextualizing dark matter as the frozen thermodynamic graveyard of tired light, the simulation brilliantly redefines the "warp bubble"—not as a topological violation of spacetime, but as a colossal quantum optical solar collector harvesting the ancient remnants of massive light to fuel intergalactic transit.

Works cited

1. Improving a Physics Engine Demo.md
2. accessed May 29, 2026, https://medium.com/starts-with-a-bang/does-the-universe-expand-faster-than-the-speed-of-light-248e1556dad5#:~:text=There's%20one%20immutable%20rule%20that,%2C%20or%20299%2C792%2C458%20m%2Fs.
3. The Universe Never Expands Faster Than the Speed of Light - Sean Carroll, accessed May 29, 2026, https://www.preposterousuniverse.com/blog/2015/10/13/the-universe-never-expands-faster-than-the-speed-of-light/comment-page-5/
4. Is the Universe expanding faster than the speed of light? - YouTube, accessed May 29, 2026, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JeUBLd_4O0Y
5. Is the universe expanding at a speed of almost $2c$? - Physics Stack Exchange, accessed May 29, 2026, https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/116987/is-the-universe-expanding-at-a-speed-of-almost-2c
6. Gravitational lens - Wikipedia, accessed May 29, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gravitational_lens
7. [1206.1165] The conceptual origins of gravitational lensing - arXiv, accessed May 29, 2026, https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.1165

8. Gravitational Lensing in Astronomy - PMC - NIH, accessed May 29, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5567250/
9. Gravitational lensing, accessed May 29, 2026, https://lweb.cfa.harvard.edu/~dfabricant/huchra/ay202/lectures/lecture12.pdf
10. Could Gravitational Time Dilation Explain Why Supernovae Appear Slower? - Reddit, accessed May 29, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskPhysics/comments/1k9f45u/could_gravitational_time_dilation_explain_why/
11. Why GPS Needs Einstein: How Relativity Makes Navigation Possible - Kronecker Wallis, accessed May 29, 2026, https://www.kroneckerwallis.com/why-gps-needs-einstein-how-relativity-makes-navigation-possible/
12. With a GPS satellite, is velocity or gravitational time dilation more significant? - Reddit, accessed May 29, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/askscience/comments/1900pxm/with_a_gps_satellite_is_velocity_or_gravitational/
13. PROOF of Time Dilation? - Muons Live Longer! - YouTube, accessed May 29, 2026, https://www.youtube.com/shorts/0W03VwmzBkI
14. Experimental testing of time dilation - Wikipedia, accessed May 29, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Experimental_testing_of_time_dilation
15. Relativistic Effect of Cosmogenic Muons - Stanislaus State, accessed May 29, 2026, https://www.csustan.edu/sites/default/files/2022-07/dis_efraincovarrubias_muonrelativity.pdf
16. Muon Experiment in Relativity - HyperPhysics, accessed May 29, 2026, http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/Relativ/muon.html
17. Muon Decay Special Relativity Proof Debunked, accessed May 29, 2026, https://www.gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-Relativity%20Theory/Download/8693
18. Tired light - Wikipedia, accessed May 29, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tired_light
19. Errors in Tired Light Cosmology, accessed May 29, 2026, https://www.astro.ucla.edu/~wright/tiredlit.htm
20. Dark Energy Survey Supernova Program: slow supernovae show cosmological time dilation out to z ~ 1. | Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society | Oxford Academic, accessed May 29, 2026, https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/533/3/3365/7738388
21. Tired Light | COSMOS, accessed May 29, 2026, https://astronomy.swin.edu.au/cosmos/*/Tired+Light
22. Errors in “Errors in Tired Light Cosmology”, accessed May 29, 2026, https://cosmology.info/redshift/rebut/errorswright.html